PRYM VARIETIES AND THE MODULI OF SPIN BUNDLES

W.M. Oxbury

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Durham

arXiv:alg-geom/9411017v1 28 Nov 1994

Introduction

This article is a sequel to the paper [O], where the following construction is described. On any smooth projective curve C of genus $g \geq 2$, let M_d (for $d \in \mathbf{Z}/2$) denote the projective moduli space of semistable rank 2 vector bundles with fixed determinant line bundle of degree $\equiv d$ mod 2. Then there are natural homomorphisms between vector spaces of equal dimension:

$$(\mathbf{0.1}) \qquad H^0(M_0, K^{-1})^\vee \ \to \bigoplus_{\eta \in J_2(C)} H^0_+(P_\eta, 3\Xi_\eta),$$

$$H^0(M_1, K^{-1})^\vee \ \to \bigoplus_{\eta \in J_2(C)} H^0_-(P_\eta, 3\Xi_\eta);$$

where (P_η, Ξ_η) is the principally polarised Prym variety corresponding to a 2-torsion point $\eta \in J_2(C)$, or is the Jacobian $(J(C), \Theta)$ in case $\eta = 0$; and where $H^0_\pm$ denotes even/odd sections.

The interest of (0.1) is that it is one step in the direction, initiated by Beauville and others ([B1], [B2], [BNR]), of relating the Verlinde spaces of C—i.e. the vector spaces $H^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ where $\mathcal{M}$ is some moduli space of vector bun dles on C and $\mathcal{L}$ is the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M})$ [DN]—to spaces of classical theta functions associated to the curve. The basic example of this is the isomorphism

$$(\mathbf{0.2}) \qquad H^0(\mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{L})^\vee \cong H^0(J(C), n\Theta)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O})$ is the moduli space of bundles of rank n and trivial determinant.

The purpose of the present article is to give a generalisation of (0.1) which ought in principle to describe all Prym theta functions beyond level 3 (at least for *odd* level, a restriction that is necessary in the present work) in terms of higher moduli spaces, not of vector bundles but of spin bundles.

For any connected reductive algebraic group G there exists a normal projective moduli variety $\mathcal{M}(G)$ of semistable principal G-bundles on the curve C ([R2], [KNR]); its connected components are indexed by the fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$. In the p articular case $G = SO_m$ there are therefore two components (labelled by the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the bundles); and each of these is étale covered by a moduli variety of Clifford bundles lifting the SO_m-bundles. We shall denote these two co vering spaces (defined in

§2 below) by $\mathcal{M}^{\pm}(\mathrm{Spin}_m)$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{+}(\mathrm{Spin}_m) = \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_m)$; whilst for $m = 3$ the varieties $M_d = \mathcal{M}^{(-)^d}(\mathrm{Spin}_3)$ are precisely the moduli spaces of rank 2 vector bundles appearing in (0.1).

In the first two sections of this paper we shall review the basic properties of $\mathcal{M}(G)$ and of the spaces of spin bundles respectively. Of particular importance is the construction of the determinant line bundle $\Theta(V)$ over any $\mathcal{M}(G)$ associated t o a finite dimensional representation $G \to SL(V)$; and in sections 3 and 4 we compute, using the Verlinde formula, the number of sections of the line bundles $\Theta(\mathbf{C}^m) \to \mathcal{M}^{\pm}(\mathrm{Spin}_m)$, where $\mathbf{C}^m$ denotes the standard orthog onal representation.

In §5 we prove the main result of the paper, which is the construction of natural homomorphisms, *between vector spaces of equal dimension*,

$$\textbf{(0.3)} \qquad H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^{2n+1}))^{\vee} \to \bigoplus_{\eta \in J_2(C)} H^0_+(P_\eta, (2n+1)\Xi_\eta),$$

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}^{-}(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^{2n+1}))^{\vee} \to \bigoplus_{\eta \in J_2(C)} H^0_-(P_\eta, (2n+1)\Xi_\eta),$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Of course, the 3-dimensional orthogonal representation of Spin_3 coincides with the adjoint representation, so that $\Theta(\mathbf{C}^3) = K^{-1}$ and (0.3) reduces to (0.1) in the case $n = 1$.

One expects (0.1) and (0.3) to be isomorphisms, at least for the generic curve; however, we have not been able to prove this. Even more intriguing is the question of what the corresponding picture is for the even spin groups: not only do the coincidences of dimension underlying (0.3) fail, but also our construction of these maps fails at several points if m is even. But that these groups do indeed have a tale worth telling is indicated by the tantalising identity for Spin_8:

$$h^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_8), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^8)) = \sum_{\eta \in J_2(C)} h^0(P_\eta, 8\Xi_\eta),$$

(see (5.3)) which one can guess has something to do with triality. On the other hand, we point out in §6 that the union $\bigcup_{\eta \in J_2(C)} P_\eta$ of all the Prym varities (including the Jacobian) can be interpreted as a moduli space of Pin_2-b undles over the curve, thus bringing our results into the broader context of 'spin reciprocity', analogous to the 'strange duality' of which (0.2) is supposed to be a special case (see [OW]).

The philosophy which emerges is: in generalising properties of the rank 2 moduli spaces, and in particular their close relationship with the classical Schottky-Jung-Prym geometry of the curve, one should pass not only to higher rank vector bundles, but a lso to the spin moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\pm}(\mathrm{Spin}_n)$. For example, the results (0.2) and (0.3) indicate, at the level of theta functions, a dictionary between abelian varieties and groups:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Jacobians} & \leftrightarrow & SL_n \\ \text{Pryms} & \leftrightarrow & SO_n, \end{array}$$

and this sort of correspondence has appeared also in the work of Kanev [K] in studying Lax flows for simple Lie algebras and their linearisation on Prym-Tyurin varieties. It would be fascinating to understand the connection with this latter point of view.

Acknowledgements. In writing this paper the author has benefited from conversations with M.S. Narasimhan, C. Sorger and E. Viehweg, to whom he expresses his thanks.

*

§1 Moduli spaces of G-bundles on a curve

In this section we shall give a brief account of the moduli spaces of semistable principal bundles over a curve, following [R1], [DN], [KNR].

We begin with a smooth projective complex curve C of genus $g \geq 2$, and a complex connected reductive algebraic group G; and we consider algebraic principal G-bundles $E \to C$. Topologically such bundles are classified by the fundamental group of G. Namely, we can trivialise E over the complement of a point $x \in C$ and in a small neighbourhood of the point; we then use a loop in G to glue the two trivialisations together on the overlap of the two neighbourhoods. The homeomorphism c lass of the resulting bundle depends only on the homotopy class of the loop.

Just as for vector bundles, one has notions of stability, semistability and S-equivalence for algebraic G-bundles, and for stable bundles S-equivalence is the same as isomorphism. (We shall recall in a moment the definition of stability, but it will no t be necessary here to define S-equivalence.) The basic result of Ramanathan [R2] is then the following.

(1.1) Theorem. *Given C, G as above and an element $\gamma \in \pi_1(G)$, there exists a normal irreducible projective variety $\mathcal{M}(G, \gamma)$ which is a coarse moduli space for families of semistable G-bundles on C, modulo S-equivalence.*

Moreover, one has

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(G, \gamma) = (g-1) \dim G + \dim Z(G),$$

and $\mathcal{M}(G, \gamma)$ is unirational when G is a simple group [KNR].

The basic construction with principal bundles is the following. If E is a G-bundle, and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ any left G-space, then we can form a bundle $E(X) = E \times_\rho X$ with fibre X. In case $X = G/P$ is a homogeneous coset spa ce, a section $\sigma : C \to E(G/P)$ is called a reduction of the strucure group of the bundle to the subgroup P. When $P \subset G$ is a maximal parabolic, $E(G/P) \to C$ can be thought of as a 'generalised Grassmannian bundle'. Then by definition, E is *stable* (resp. *semistable*) if and only if

$$\deg \sigma^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} E(G/P) > 0 \quad (\textit{resp. } \geq 0) \qquad \textit{for all maximal parabolics } P \subset G,$$

where T^{vert} denotes the vertical tangent bundle.

On the other hand, if $\pi : G' \to G$ is a group epimorphism then we can view $X = G$ as a left G'-space via π, and so form a G-bundle $E = F(G)$ from any G'-bundle F. F is said to be a *lift* of E. In particular, if G' is a cen tral extension of G then there is a bijection between maximal parabolics $P \subset G$ and maximal parabolics $P' = \pi^{-1}P \subset G'$, and moreover $F(G'/P') \cong E(G/P)$ if F is any lift of E. Consequently:

(1.2) Lemma. *If E is a G-bundle and F a lift of E to a central extension of G then E is stable (resp. semistable) if and only if F is.*

Finally, of course, we can take for the G-space X a finite-dimensional representation $\rho : G \to GL(V)$, to obtain a vector bundle $E(V)$. In the case when $G = GL_n$ and $V = \mathbf{C}^n$ is the standard representation, the notions of stability, semistability and S-equivalence are the same for the principal bundle E as for the vector bundle $E(V)$. Thus we shall write $\mathcal{M}(n,d) = \mathcal{M}(GL_n, d)$, for $d \in \pi_1(GL_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}$; this is then the usual moduli space of semistable vector bundles of rank n and degree d.

Consider now the determinant morphism

$$\det : \mathcal{M}(n,d) \to J^d(C).$$

One knows from [DN] that, via det, $\mathcal{M}(n,d)$ has Picard group

$$\text{Pic } \mathcal{M}(n,d) \cong \text{Pic } J^d(C) \oplus \mathbf{Z}\{\Theta_{n,d}\}$$

where $\Theta_{n,d}$ is an ample line bundle on the fibres constructed as follows. It will be convenient for our purposes always to assume that n divides d, i.e. that we are dealing with vector bundles of integral slope.

Consider first an arbitrary family $F \to C \times S$ of semistable vector bundles on C with rank n, degree d and slope $\mu = d/n \in \mathbf{Z}$, as above; and we construct a line bundle $\Theta(F) \to S$, functorial with respect to base c hange $S' \to S$, in the following way. Let $\pi : C \times S \to S$ be the projection. Then (at least Zariski locally) there is a homomorphism of locally free sheaves on S, $\phi : K^0 \to K^1$, having the direct images of F under π as kernel and cokernel:

$$0 \to R^0_\pi F \to K^0 \xrightarrow{\phi} K^1 \to R^1_\pi F \to 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, the determinant line bundle

$$\text{Det}(F) = (\textstyle\bigwedge^{\text{top}} K^0)^\vee \otimes (\bigwedge^{\text{top}} K^1)$$

is well-defined and functorial with respect to base change. If $\mu = g - 1$ we write $\Theta(F) = \text{Det}(F)$; and this has a canonical section $\det \phi$. Otherwise $\Theta(F)$ is defined to be a suitable twist of $\text{Det}(F)$ such that

$$\Theta(F \otimes \pi^* L) = \Theta(F)$$

for any line bundle $L \to S$; i.e. Θ respects equivalence of families.

Now in the case $\mu = g - 1$ it is shown in [DN] that the functor Θ is represented by a Cartier divisor

$$\Theta_{n,n(g-1)} = \text{Closure}\{\text{stable } V | H^0(V) = H^1(V) \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1)).$$

In other words $\Theta(F) = f^*\Theta_{n,n(g-1)}$ where $f : S \to \mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1))$ is given by the coarse moduli property.

For the general case one chooses a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(C)$, with integral slope, and degree chosen so that we get a morphism

$$\mathcal{M}(n,d) \xrightarrow{\otimes L} \mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1)).$$

Now set

$$\Theta_L = (\otimes L)^*\Theta_{n,n(g-1)}.$$

The dependence of Θ_L on L is then given by (1.5) below:

(1.4) Lemma [DN]. *View $J^0(C)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}(J^d(C))$ by $L \mapsto \Phi^{-1} \otimes T_L^*\Phi$, where Φ is any line bundle representing the principal polarisation on $J^d(C)$. Then for any family $F \to C \times S$ as above, and any $L \in J^0(C)$, we have*

$$\Theta(F \otimes pr_C^* L) = \det^*(L) \otimes \Theta(F)$$

where $\det : S \to \mathcal{M}(n,d) \to J^d(C)$.

It follows easily from this that when L, L' have the same degree, Θ_L and $\Theta_{L'}$ are related by:

$$\Theta_{L'} = \det^*(L'L^{-1}) \otimes \Theta_L \in \mathrm{Pic}\ \mathcal{M}(n,d). \tag{1.5}$$

We now set $\Theta_{n,d} = \Theta_L$: if $d \neq n(g-1)$ this depends on L, *but by (1.5) its restriction to the fibres of* $\det : \mathcal{M}(n,d) \to J^d(C)$ *is independent of* L.

We need to remark that in fact a more general form of (1.5) is given in [DN]. Namely, L (and L') can be a vector bundle of higher rank chosen so that tensoring $\mathcal{M}(n,d)$ by L gives bundles of slope $g-1$; so that then Θ_L can be defined in the same way as above. In this more general situation (1.5) becomes:

$$\Theta_{L'} = \det^*(\det L' \otimes \det L^\vee) \otimes \Theta_L \in \mathrm{Pic}\ \mathcal{M}(n,d). \tag{1.5$'$}$$

Now suppose that we are given a family $E \to C \times S$ of semistable G-bundles, and a representation $\rho : G \to SL(V)$, where $\dim V = n$. Then we can form the family of vector bundles $E(V) \to C \times S$; that these are semistable follows from [R2] proposition 2.17. So we have a theta line bundle $\Theta(E(V)) \to S$, and since $E(V)$ has trivial determinant on the fibres of $\pi : C \times S \to S$ we deduce from lemma (1.4) the following corollary, which will be needed later:

(1.6) Corollary. *For $E \to C \times S$ and $\rho : G \to SL(V)$ as above, and for any $L \in J^0(C)$ one has*

$$\Theta(E(V) \otimes pr_C^* L) = \Theta(E(V)).$$

Globally ρ induces a morphism

$$\rho_* : \mathcal{M}(G, \gamma) \to \mathcal{M}(SL_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(n, 0)$$

and the functor $E \mapsto \Theta(E(V))$ is represented by the (well-defined) line bundle

$$\Theta(V) := (\rho_*)^*\Theta_{n,0} \in \text{Pic } \mathcal{M}(G,\gamma).$$

Note that if we let $j : \mathcal{M}(SL_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(n,0)$ denote the inclusion which identifies $\mathcal{M}(SL_n)$ with the moduli space of vector bundles of rank n and trivial determinant, via the standard representation $\mathbf{C}^n$, then by construction

$$\Theta(\mathbf{C}^n) = j^*\Theta_{n,0}.$$

*

§2 Clifford bundles

Let us consider again the fibration

$$\det : \mathcal{M}(n,d) \to J^d(C);$$

induced, that is, by the determinant homomorphism $GL_n \to \mathbf{C}^*$. Writing M_d for the isomorphism class of the fibre, M_d depends on d only modulo n, and so the structure of $\mathcal{M}(GL_n)$ reduces to that of a set of n varieties, of which that corresponding to $d \equiv 0 \bmod n$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(SL_n)$.

In this section we shall describe an alternative generalisation of this situation for $n = 2$, obtained by replacing GL_2 not by GL_n, but by the special Clifford group of a nondegenerate quadratic form. First we need to recall briefly some basic Clifford theory. (See [Bo].)

Let Q be a nondegenerate quadratic form on a complex vector space V of finite dimension m; let $A = A(Q)$ be its Clifford algebra and A^+ the even Clifford algebra. Recall that these can be expressed as matrix algebras as follows.

If $m = 2n$ is even then for any n-dimensional isotropic subspace $U \subset V$ one has

$$A \cong \text{End} \bigwedge U; \qquad A^+ \cong \text{End}\big(\bigwedge{}^{\text{even}} U\big) \oplus \text{End}\big(\bigwedge{}^{\text{odd}} U\big).$$

If, on the other hand, $m = 2n+1$ is odd then for any direct sum decomposition $V = U \oplus U' \oplus \mathbf{C}$ where U, U' are n-dimensional isotropic subspaces one has

$$A \cong \text{End} \bigwedge U \oplus \text{End} \bigwedge U'; \qquad A^+ \cong \text{End} \bigwedge U.$$

The 'principal involution' of A is $\alpha : x \mapsto -x$ for $x \in V$, i.e. is ± 1 on $A^\pm$ respectively. The 'principal anti-involution' β is the identity on V and reverses the direction of multiplication: $\beta(x_1 \dots x_r) = x_r \dots x_1$. Then *conjugation* is defined by

$$x^* = \beta \circ \alpha(x), \qquad x \in A.$$

Finally one can define the *Clifford group*

$$C(Q) = \{s \in A^* | sVs^* \subset V\},$$

where $A^* \subset A$ denotes the group of units; and the *special Clifford group*

$$SC(Q) = C(Q) \cap A^+.$$

For $s \in C(Q)$ the transformation $\pi_s : x \mapsto sxs^*$ of V is orthogonal—this is because $C(Q)$ is generated by $x \in V \cap C(Q)$, for which π_x is just reflection in the hyperplane $x^\perp$. Thus one has a group homomorphism $\pi : C(Q) \to O(Q)$, which has the following properties.

(2.1) Proposition.
(i) $\ker \pi = C(Q) \cap Z(A)$;
(ii) if m *is odd then* $\pi(C(Q)) = \pi(SC(Q)) = SO(Q)$;
(iii) if m *is even then* $\pi(C(Q)) = O(Q)$ *and* $\pi(SC(Q)) = SO(Q)$.

(2.2) Corollary. *$SC(Q)$ is a connected reductive algebraic group.*

The *spinor norm* is the group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Nm} \ : \ C(Q) &\to \mathbf{C}^* \\ s &\mapsto \beta(s)s. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently $\mathrm{Nm}(x_1 \dots x_r) = Q(x_1) \cdots Q(x_r)$ for $x_1, \dots, x_r \in V$. Then $\mathrm{Spin}(Q)$ is by definition $SC(Q) \cap \ker \mathrm{Nm}$.

From now on we shall write $C_m, SC_m, \mathrm{Spin}_m$ instead of $C(Q), SC(Q), \mathrm{Spin}(Q)$ when Q is the standard quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}^m$. Then (using (2.1)) one has the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \to & \mathbf{C}^* & \to & SC_m & \xrightarrow{\pi} & SO_m & \to & 1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \| & & \\ 1 & \to & \mathbf{Z}/2 & \to & \mathrm{Spin}_m & \to & SO_m & \to & 1. \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

(2.4) Proposition.
(i) SC_m *has centre* $Z(SC_m) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}^* & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \mathbf{C}^* \times \mathbf{Z}/2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$
(ii) SC_m *has fundamental group* $\pi_1(SC_m) = \mathbf{Z}$*; and this maps isomorphically to* $\pi_1(\mathbf{C}^*) = \mathbf{Z}$ *under the spinor norm.*

Proof. (i) If m is odd the centre of SC_m must be contained in—and hence equal to—the kernel $\mathbf{C}^*$ of the surjection onto SO_m, since the latter has trivial centre.

If, on the other hand, m is even, then by the same token $Z(SC_m)$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}(Z(SO_m))$ where π denotes the surjection to SO_m. In this case SO_m has centre $\{\pm 1\}$. As before everything in $\pi^{-1}(1) = \mathbf{C}^*$ is central; while if $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \subset \mathbf{C}^m$ is any

orthonormal basis then the product $e_1 \dots e_m \in SC_m$ spans $\pi^{-1}(-1) \cong \mathbf{C}^*$. Since m is even this product anticommutes with each e_i, and therefore *commutes* wit h all elements of A^+. So $\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbf{C}^* \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ is contained in and therefore equal to the centre.

(ii) From the exact homotopy sequence of the fibration in the upper sequence of (2.3), and the vanishing of $\pi_2(\mathbf{C}^*)$, we have a non-split extension

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to \pi_1(SC_m) \to \mathbf{Z}/2 \to 0.$$

Since the fundamental group of a Lie group is abelian it follows that the only possibility is $\pi_1(SC_m) = \mathbf{Z}$. The last part now follows from the fact that Spin_m is simply-connected.

Example. When $m = 3$, SC_3 is equal to the group of units in A^+, i.e. $SC_3 \cong GL_2$. The homomorphism onto SO_3 in (2.3) is then precisely the action of GL_2 on $S^2 \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ by Möbius transformations, via stereographic project ion. The spinor norm is in this case the determinant homomorphism, so that $\mathrm{Spin}_3 = SL_2$.

From (2.4)(ii) we see that there is for each $d \in \mathbf{Z} = \pi_1(SC_m)$ a morphism induced by the spinor norm, and which we shall denote in the same way:

$$\mathrm{Nm} : \mathcal{M}(SC_m, d) \to J^d(C).$$

Moreover, when $m = 3$ this is nothing but the determinant morphism for rank 2 vector bundles. And just as for rank 2 vector bundles, one has:

(2.5) Proposition. *For $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $L \in J^d(C)$, the isomorphism class of the subscheme $\mathrm{Nm}^{-1}(L) \subset \mathcal{M}(SC_m, d)$ depends only on d mod 2.*

This is a trivial result proved in the same way as for rank 2 vector bundles, once one observes that multiplication of SC_m by its centre (2.4)(i) induces a natural generalisation of the tensor product operation of Clifford bundles by line bundles if m is odd, and if m is even by *pairs* (N, η) where N is a line bundle and $\eta \in H^1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2) = J_2(C)$. (See also [R].) We shall write, respectively, $N \otimes E$ and $(N, \eta) \otimes E$ for this product. It follows from the definition of the spinor norm that

$$\mathrm{Nm}(N \otimes E) = N^2 \otimes \mathrm{Nm}(E), \quad \text{resp.} \quad \mathrm{Nm}((N, \eta) \otimes E) = N^2 \otimes \mathrm{Nm}(E).$$

So to prove (2.5): suppose $d = \deg L \equiv d' = \deg L' \bmod 2$, and write $L' = N^{2k} \otimes L$ for some $N \in \mathrm{Pic}^1(C)$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then the map $\mathcal{M}(SC_m, d) \to \mathcal{M}(SC_m, d')$ given by $E \mapsto N \otimes E$ (resp. $(N, \mathcal{O}) \otimes E$) restricts to an isomorphism $\mathrm{Nm}^{-1}(L) \cong \mathrm{Nm}^{-1}(L')$.

We shall therefore introduce the notation:

$$\mathcal{M}^+(\mathrm{Spin}_m) = \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_m) = \mathrm{Nm}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_C);$$
$$\mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_m) = \mathrm{Nm}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_C(p)),$$

where $p \in C$ is any point of the curve. The second space generalises the moduli space of stable rank 2 vector bundles with fixed determinant of odd degree, in the case $m = 3$.

We next want to relate the two varieties $\mathcal{M}^{\pm}(\mathrm{Spin}_m)$ to the two-component moduli variety $\mathcal{M}(SO_m)$ of semistable SO_m-bundles. Given any SC_n-bundle $E \to C$ we can construct an SO_m-bundle $E(SO_m)$ as in §1, using the surjection π in (2.3). Then the following is a special case of lemma (1.2).

(2.6) Lemma. *An SC_m-bundle E is stable (resp. semistable) if and only if $F = E(SO_m)$ is stable (resp. semistable).*

For an algebraic group G, let $\mathcal{O}G$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic functions on C with values in G. Then isomorphism classes of G-bundles on C are parametrised by the Cech cohomology group $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}G)$. Diagram (2.3) together with the spinor norm induces the following exact commutative diagram of such cohomology groups (see also [R]):

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
(\mathbf{2.7}) & & \mathrm{Pic}(C) & = & \mathrm{Pic}(C) & & & & \\
 & & \uparrow sq & & \uparrow \mathrm{Nm} & & & & \\
0 & \to & \mathrm{Pic}(C) & \to & H^1(C, \mathcal{O}SC_m) & \to & H^1(C, \mathcal{O}SO_m) & \to & H^2(C, \mathcal{O}^*) = 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \to & J_2(C) & \to & H^1(C, \mathcal{O}\mathrm{Spin}_m) & \to & H^1(C, \mathcal{O}SO_m) & \xrightarrow{w_2} & H^2(C, \mathbf{Z}/2) = \mathbf{Z}/2.
\end{array}
$$

Here sq is the squaring map, and the map $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}SC_m) \to H^1(C, \mathcal{O}SO_m)$ takes the isomorphism class of E to that of $E(SO_m)$. The connecting homomorphism w_2 is the second Stiefel-Whitney class, and it can be seen from (2.7) that

$$
w_2(E(SO_m)) \equiv \deg \mathrm{Nm}(E) \mod 2. \tag{2.8}
$$

Note also that whereas the vanishing of w_2 is the necessary and sufficient condition for an SO_m-bundle to lift to a Spin_m-bundle, *every* SO_m-bundle lifts to a SC_m-bundle, the space of such lifts being bijective to $\mathrm{Pic}(C)$. Moreover, one has:

(2.9) Proposition. *Given an SO_m-bundle F and a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(C)$, there is a (non-canonical) bijection between the set of SC_m-bundles E lifting F with $\mathrm{Nm}(E) = L$, and $J_2(C)$.*

The group SO_m has fundamental group $\mathbf{Z}/2$; it will be convenient to write $\mathcal{M}(SO_m, 0) = \mathcal{M}^+(SO_m)$ and $\mathcal{M}(SO_m, 1) = \mathcal{M}^-(SO_m)$. Then it follows from (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) that there are natural Galois covers

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\pm}(\mathrm{Spin}_m) \xrightarrow{J_2(C)} \mathcal{M}^{\pm}(SO_m). \tag{2.10}
$$

Finally, we shall need the following fact relating Clifford bundles with theta-characteristics.

(2.11) Proposition. *For any SC_m-bundle $E \to C$ and theta-characteristic $K^{\frac{1}{2}} \in J^{g-1}(C)$ one has*

$$
h^0(C, K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes E(\mathbf{C}^m)) \equiv m h^0(C, K^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \deg \mathrm{Nm}(E) \mod 2.
$$

Proof. For any orthogonal bundle F and theta-characteristic $K^{\frac{1}{2}}$, one has by [S], théorème 2, the congruence:

$$
h^0(C, K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes F) \equiv (m+1) h^0(C, K^{\frac{1}{2}}) + h^0(C, K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes w_1(F)) + w_2(F) \mod 2,
$$

where w_1 and w_2 are the Stiefel-Whitney classes. But $w_1(F)$ can be identified with $\det F \in J_2(C) \cong H^1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2)$, which in the present case $F = E(\mathbf{C}^m)$ vanishes since E is a *special* Clifford bundle. On the other hand, $w_2(F) \equiv \deg \mathrm{Nm}(E) \bmod 2$ by (2.8). So we get the statement in the proposition.

*

§3 The Verlinde formulae

In this section we shall write down, for the unitary and spin groups, the Verlinde formula which calculates the dimension of the vector spaces $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \Theta(V))$. For the computations of these formulae we refer the reader to [B3], [OW]. In fact, what one writes down is a natural number $N_l(G)$ (or to all appearances a somewhat unnatural number!) depending on the group, on the genus g, and on an integer l called the 'level'. Then in the next section we shall recall how, to any representation V of G, one associates a level $l = m_V$—its 'height'—such that $\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \Theta(V)) = N_{m_V}(G)$.

*

$\mathbf{SL_{n+1}}$

The Verlinde number in this case is:

$$N_l(SL_{n+1}) = \big((n+1)(l+n+1)^n\big)^{g-1} \times \sum_{\substack{t_1,\dots,t_n \geq 1 \\ t_1+\cdots+t_n \leq l+n}} \Big(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \big(2 \sin \frac{\pi}{l+n+1}(t_i + \cdots + t_{j-1})\big)\Big)^{-2g+2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since we are especially concerned with the spin groups we shall record at this point the result of computing (3.1) at levels 1 and 2 for $\mathrm{Spin}_6 = SL_4$:

- $N_1(SL_4) = 2^{2g}$;
- $N_2(SL_4) = 2^{3g-1}3^{g-1} + 2^{3g-1} + 2^g 3^{g-1}$.

Also for reference below let us list here the well-known numbers for SL_2:

- $N_1(SL_2) = 2^g$;
- $N_2(SL_2) = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$.

$\mathbf{Spin_{2n},\ n \geq 4}$

Here the Verlinde number is:

$$(3.2)\quad N_l(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n}) = \big(4(l+2n-2)^n\big)^{g-1} \times \sum_{\substack{t_1,\dots,t_n\geq 1 \\ t_1+2t_2+\cdots 2t_{n-2}+t_{n-1}+t_n\leq l+2n-3}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \times \prod_{i<j}\Big)^{-2g+2},$$

where

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} 4\sin\frac{\pi}{l+2n-2}(t_i+\cdots+t_{n-1})\sin\frac{\pi}{l+2n-2}(t_i+\cdots+t_{n-2}+t_n),$$

and

$$\prod_{i<j} = \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n-1} 4\sin\frac{\pi}{l+2n-2}(t_i+\cdots+t_{j-1})\times \sin\frac{\pi}{l+2n-2}(t_i+\cdots+t_{j-1}+2t_j+\cdots+2t_{n-2}+t_{n-1}+t_n).$$

Again we note the first two cases of this formula:

- $N_1(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n}) = 2^{2g}$;
- $N_2(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n}) = (2n)^g + (2^{2g}-1)(2n)^{g-1} + 2^{g-1}(2^{2g}-n^g)$.

The derivations of these and similar formulae for Spin_{2n+1} below are all entirely similar (and rather tedious) and we shall content ourselves with giving it only for the case relevant to the present paper, $N_2(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1})$ below.

Note, incidentally, that both of the above formulae are consistent with $\mathrm{Spin}_4 = SL_2 \times SL_2$ and $\mathrm{Spin}_6 = SL_4$: for $n = 2, 3$ respectively they coincide with $N_l(SL_2)^2$ and $N_l(SL_4)$.

$\mathbf{Spin_{2n+1}}$, $\mathbf{n \geq 2}$

Here we have:

$$(3.3)\quad N_l(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) = \big(4(l+2n-1)^n\big)^{g-1} \times \sum_{\substack{t_1,\dots,t_n\geq 1 \\ t_1+2t_2+\cdots 2t_{n-1}+t_n\leq l+2n-2}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \times \prod_{i<j}\Big)^{-2g+2},$$

where

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} 2\sin\frac{\pi}{l+2n-1}(t_i+\cdots+t_{n-1}+\frac{t_n}{2}),$$

and

$$\prod_{i<j} = \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} 4\sin\frac{\pi}{l+2n-1}(t_i+\cdots+t_{j-1})\times \sin\frac{\pi}{l+2n-1}(t_i+\cdots+t_{j-1}+2t_j+\cdots+2t_{n-1}+t_n).$$

This time we obtain at levels 1 and 2:

- $N_1(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) = 2^{g-1}(2^g+1)$;

- $N_2(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) = 2^{2g-1}(2n+1)^{g-1} + n(2n+1)^{g-1} + 2^{2g-1}$.

We shall prove the second of these formulae. As already mentioned, this is entirely representative of the proofs of all similar special cases of the Verlinde formulae given in this section.

Calculation of $N_2(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1})$.

Let $\zeta_k = e^{2\pi i/k}$; in particular we shall be concerned with $\xi = \zeta_{4n+2}$ and $\zeta = \xi^2 = \zeta_{2n+1}$. Using the fact that for any integer a,

$$4\sin^2\frac{\pi}{k}a = (1-\zeta_k^a)(1-\zeta_k^{-a}),$$

the Verlinde formula can be written:

$$N_2(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) = \big(4(2n+1)^n\big)^{g-1} \sum_{\substack{t_1,\dots,t_n\geq 1 \\ t_1+2t_2+\cdots 2t_{n-1}+t_n\leq 2n}} (A_{\mathbf{t}}B_{\mathbf{t}})^{-g+1}$$

where for $\mathbf{t} = (t_1,\dots,t_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\mathbf{t}} &= \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-\xi^{2t_i+\cdots+2t_{n-1}+t_n})(1-\xi^{4n+2-2t_i-\cdots-2t_{n-1}-t_n}),\\
B_{\mathbf{t}} &= \prod_{i<j}(1-\zeta^{t_i+\cdots+t_{j-1}})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-t_i-\cdots-t_{j-1}})\times\\
&\qquad (1-\zeta^{t_i+\cdots+t_{j-1}+2t_j+\cdots+2t_{n-1}+t_n})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-t_i-\cdots-t_{j-1}-2t_j-\cdots-2t_{n-1}-t_n}).
\end{aligned}$$

In this sum $\mathbf{t}$ can take only a small number of values—namely, since

$$2n-2 \leq t_1+2t_2+\cdots 2t_{n-1}+t_n \leq 2n,$$

$\mathbf{t}$ must be one of

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1,\dots,1),\\
&(2,1,\dots,1,2),(1,\dots,1,3),(3,1,\dots,1),\\
&(2,1,\dots,1),(1,2,1,\dots,1),\dots,(1,\dots,1,2).
\end{aligned}$$

So we just carry out the (somewhat laborious) task of computing $A_{\mathbf{t}}$ and $B_{\mathbf{t}}$ for each of these vectors. In doing so we shall make repeated use of the easy identities

$$\prod_{i=1}^{4n+1}(1-\xi^i) = 4n+2, \qquad \prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1-\zeta^i) = 2n+1.$$

The case $\mathbf{t} = (1,\dots,1)$.

First,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{(1,\dots,1)} &= \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-\xi^{2n-2i+1})(1-\xi^{2n+2i+1}) \\
&= (1-\xi)(1-\xi^3)\cdots(1-\xi^{2n-1})(1-\xi^{2n+3})\cdots(1-\xi^{4n+1}) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{4n+1}(1-\xi^i)/\Big((1-\xi^{2n+1})\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1-\xi^{2i})\Big) \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{(1,\dots,1)} &= \prod_{i<j}(1-\zeta^{j-i})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j+i})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j-i})(1-\zeta^{i+j}) \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{2n}(1-\zeta^k)^{m_k}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have to determine the multiplicities m_k. Since the factors all occur in conjugate pairs we have $m_k = m_{2n+1-k}$, so it is sufficient to assume $1 \le k \le n$. Note that the four exponents occuring in the product satisfy the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \le& j-i \le n-1, \\
n+2 \le& 2n+1-j+i \le 2n, \\
2 \le& 2n+1-j-i \le 2n-2, \\
3 \le& i+j \le 2n-1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_k = &\sharp\,\{\text{pairs } i<j \text{ such that } j-i=k\} \\
&+\ \sharp\,\{\text{pairs } i<j \text{ such that } j+i=k\} \\
&+\ \sharp\,\{\text{pairs } i<j \text{ such that } j+i=2n+1-k\}
\end{aligned}$$

and the last term is equal to the number of pairs such that $i+j=k-1$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
m_k &= n-k+[\frac{k-1}{2}]+[\frac{k-2}{2}] \\
&= n-k+k-1 \\
&= n-1,
\end{aligned}$$

for all k. Hence

$$B_{(1,\dots,1)} = \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1-\zeta^i)\Big)^{n-1} = (2n+1)^{n-1}.$$

The case $\mathbf{t} = (1, \ldots, 1, 2)$.

We shall deal with this and the remaining cases by comparing them with the previous one. So, for example, looking at the definition of $A_{\mathbf{t}}$ we see that to obtain $A_{(1,\ldots,1,2)}$ we apply the following procedure to $A_{(1,\ldots,1)}$:

$$A_{(1,\ldots,1)} = \underbrace{(1-\xi)(1-\xi^3)\cdots(1-\xi^{2n-1})}_{\text{add 1 to each exponent}}\underbrace{(1-\xi^{2n+3})\cdots(1-\xi^{4n+1})}_{\text{subtract 1 from each exponent}}$$

This yields

$$A_{(1,\ldots,1,2)} = \prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1-\xi^{2i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1-\zeta^i) = 2n+1.$$

Similarly, to obtain $B_{(1,\ldots,1,2)}$ we operate on the exponents of $B_{(1,\ldots,1)}$ by:

$$B_{(1,\ldots,1)} = \prod_{i<j}\underbrace{(1-\zeta^{j-i})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j+i})}_{\text{unchanged}}\underbrace{(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j-i})}_{\text{add 1}}\underbrace{(1-\zeta^{i+j})}_{\text{subtract 1}}$$

We claim that when this is done the multiplicity of each factor $(1-\zeta^k)$ in the resulting product is the same as in $B_{(1,\ldots,1)}$, and hence that

$$B_{(1,\ldots,1,2)} = B_{(1,\ldots,1)} = (2n+1)^{n-1}.$$

To prove this, first fix $i \geq 1$. Then one sees that the effect of the above operation on all the factors coming from $j = i+1, \ldots, n$ is to multiply the original product by a coefficient

$$\mu_i = \frac{(1-\zeta^{2n-2i+1})(1-\zeta^{2i})}{(1-\zeta^{n+1-i})(1-\zeta^{i+n})}.$$

Consequently $B_{(1,\ldots,1,2)} = \mu \times B_{(1,\ldots,1)}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\mu_i \\ &= \frac{(1-\zeta^{2n-1})\cdots \textit{odd powers}\cdots(1-\zeta^3)(1-\zeta^2)\cdots \textit{even powers}\cdots(1-\zeta^{2n-2})}{(1-\zeta^n)\cdots(1-\zeta^2)(1-\zeta^{n+1})\cdots(1-\zeta^{2n-1})} \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

The cases $\mathbf{t} = (1, \ldots, 1, 2, 1, \ldots, 1)$, $t_k = 2$ *for* $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

If we apply the same reasoning as in the previous case then $A_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}$ is obtained from $A_{(1,\ldots,1)}$ by the scheme:

$$A_{(1,\ldots,1)} = \underbrace{(1-\xi^1)\cdots(1-\xi^{2n-2k-1})}_{\text{unchanged}}\underbrace{(1-\xi^{2n-2k+1})\cdots(1-\xi^{2n-1})}_{\text{add 2}}.$$

$$\times \underbrace{(1-\xi^{2n+3})\cdots(1-\xi^{2n+2k+1})}_{\text{subtract 2}} \underbrace{(1-\xi^{2n+2k+3})\cdots(1-\xi^{4n+1})}_{\text{unchanged}}$$

This has the effect of multiplying $A_{(1,\ldots,1)}$ by the expression (involving only odd powers of ξ)

$$\frac{(1-\xi^{2n-2k+3})\cdots(1-\xi^{2n+1})}{(1-\xi^{2n-2k+1})\cdots(1-\xi^{2n-1})}\frac{(1-\xi^{2n+1})\cdots(1-\xi^{2n+2k-1})}{(1-\xi^{2n+3})\cdots(1-\xi^{2n+2k+1})}$$

$$=\frac{(1-\xi^{2n+1})(1-\xi^{2n+1})}{(1-\xi^{2n-2k+1})(1-\xi^{2n+2k+1})};$$

i.e. we have

$$A_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}=\frac{4}{(1-\xi^{2n-2k+1})(1-\xi^{2n+2k+1})}A_{(1,\ldots,1)}.$$

Next we consider $B_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}$. First write

$$B_{(1,\ldots,1)}=\prod_{k<i<j}\prod_{i\le k<j}\prod_{i<j\le k}(1-\zeta^{j-i})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j+i})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j-i})(1-\zeta^{i+j}).$$

To obtain $B_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}$ the procedure is:

$$\underbrace{(1-\zeta^{j-i})}_{\substack{\text{add 1}\\ \text{in i}\le\text{k}<\text{j only}}}\ \underbrace{(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j+i})}_{\substack{\text{subtract 1}\\ \text{in i}\le\text{k}<\text{j only}}}\underbrace{(1-\zeta^{2n+1-j-i})}_{\substack{\text{add 1}\\ \text{in i}\le\text{k}<\text{j;}\\ \text{add 2 in}\\ \text{i}<\text{j}\le\text{k}}}\ \underbrace{(1-\zeta^{i+j})}_{\substack{\text{subtract 1}\\ \text{in i}\le\text{k}<\text{j;}\\ \text{subtract 2 in}\\ \text{i}<\text{j}\le\text{k}}}$$

Now fix $i\le k$. It can be seen that when we pass to $B_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}$ the factors in the above product coming from $j=i+1,\ldots,n$ yield a multiplier

$$\mu_i=\frac{(1-\zeta^{2n-2i+2})(1-\zeta^{2n-2i+1})(1-\zeta^{2i-1})(1-\zeta^{2i})}{(1-\zeta^{k+1-i})(1-\zeta^{2n-k+i})(1-\zeta^{2n+2-k-i})(1-\zeta^{i+k-1})},$$

and hence that

$$B_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}=\mu\times B_{(1,\ldots,1)}$$

where

$$\mu=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\mu_i=\frac{(1-\zeta^{2k})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-2k})}{(1-\zeta^{k})(1-\zeta^{2n+1-k})}.$$

We conclude (since $\zeta=\xi^2$; and using also $\xi^{2n+1}=-1$) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{A_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}B_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}}{A_{(1,\ldots,1)}B_{(1,\ldots,1)}}&=\frac{4(1-\xi^{4k})(1-\xi^{4n+2-4k})}{(1-\xi^{2n-2k+1})(1-\xi^{2n+2k+1})(1-\xi^{2k})(1-\xi^{4n+2-2k})}\\&=\frac{4|1-\xi^{4k}|^2}{|1+\xi^{2k}|^2|1-\xi^{2k}|^2}\\&=4.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)}B_{(1,\ldots,2,\ldots,1)} = 4(2n+1)^{n-1}.$$

The remaining three cases involve no new ideas and the results are as follows. The integer values of $A_{\mathbf{t}}B_{\mathbf{t}}$ are:

$(2n+1)^{n-1}$	for $(1,\ldots,1),(3,1,\ldots,1)$;
$(2n+1)^{n}$	for $(1,\ldots,1,2),(2,1,\ldots,1,2)$;
$4(2n+1)^{n-1}$	for all other $\mathbf{t}$.

So we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) &= \big(4(2n+1)^n\big)\Big(\frac{2}{(2n+1)^{(n-1)(g-1)}} + \frac{2}{(2n+1)^{n(g-1)}} \\ &\qquad + \frac{n}{(4(2n+1)^{n-1})^{g-1}}\Big) \\ &= 2^{2g-1}(2n+1)^{g-1} + n(2n+1)^{g-1} + 2^{2g-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it will be convenient, following [OW], to split the Verlinde number (3.3) for the odd spin groups (including Spin_3) into the sum taken over highest weights of tensor representations—i.e. those which descend to SO_{2n+1}, which is equivale nt to the condition that t_n is even—and the sum taken over highest weights of spinor representations, i.e. those for which t_n is odd:

$$N_l(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) = N_l^+ + N_l^-$$

where

$$N_l^+ = \sum_{t_n \equiv 0(2)}, \qquad N_l^- = \sum_{t_n \equiv 1(2)}.$$

One then finds by the same computation as above for $l = 2$ that for $n \geq 2$:

$$2N_2^-(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) = (2n+1)^g + (2^{2g}-1)(2n+1)^{g-1};$$

and the left-hand side is replaced by $2N_4^-$ in the case $n = 1$.

In §5 below we shall observe that this is the number of level $2n+1$ theta functions on the Jacobian and on all the Pryms of a curve of genus g.

*

§4 The height of a representation

Suppose, as in the previous section, that the group G of §1 is simple and simply-connected. Given a representation $G \to SL(V)$ one can associate in a natural way a level $m_V \in \mathbf{Z}$ with which to compute the Verlinde formula of the previous section. This number—which it seems convenient to refer to as the *height*—has some inte resting properties and can be defined in several ways (see [KNR]).

Fix a maximal torus in G with Lie algebra $\mathbf{h}$; and let $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{h}^*$ be the weight lattice, and $\mathbf{Z}[\Lambda]$ the group ring of Λ. Let $e : \Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\Lambda]$ be the natural inclusion. So $e(\lambda+\mu) = e(\lambda)e(\mu)$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $n_\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}$ be the multiplicity of λ as a weight of the representation V. This determines a natu ral homomorphism ch of the representation ring of G into $\mathbf{Z}[\Lambda]$ by

$$ch(V) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} n_\lambda e(\lambda) \in \mathbf{Z}[\Lambda],$$

called the *formal character* of V.

Now let $\theta^\vee$ be the highest coroot of G with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathbf{h}$ and normalised Killing form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Then we define:

$$m_V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} n_\lambda \langle \lambda, \theta^\vee \rangle^2. \tag{4.1}$$

For equivalent definitions see [KNR]. The fundamental result of [F], [KNR] is now the following.

(4.2) Theorem. *For any simple, simply-connected complex Lie group G, finite dimensional representation V, and integer k, we have*

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \Theta(V)^{\otimes k}) = N_{km_V}(G).$$

Remarks. (i) Of course, although not explicit in the notation, both sides depend upon the genus g.

(ii) Strictly speaking (4.2) is proved only for the classical groups and G_2. See [F].

Our present interest in the height invariant is the following example.

(4.3) Lemma. *If $G = \mathrm{Spin}_m$ and $V \cong \mathbf{C}^m$ is the orthogonal representation, then*

$$m_V = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } m = 3, \\ 2 & \text{if } m \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the case $m = 3$, V is just the adjoint representation and $m_V = 2h = 4$, where h denotes the dual Coxeter number. We then have to compute separately the cases $m = 6$, $m = 2n$ with $n \geq 4$ and $m = 2n + 1$ with $n \geq 2$.

First, $\mathrm{Spin}_6 = SL_4$ and in this case $V \cong \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{C}^4$. In general, if $G = SL_{n+1}$ then we take the Cartan subalgebra $\mathbf{h}$ to be that consisting of tracefree diagonal matrices;

and let $L_1, \ldots, L_{n+1}$ be the standard dual basis in $\mathbf{h}^*$. So the tracefree condition is $L_1 + \cdots + L_{n+1} = 0$; and the n ormalised Killing form is given by:

$$\langle L_i, L_j \rangle = \begin{cases} n/(n+1) & \text{if } i = j, \\ -1/(n+1) & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Then $\theta = \theta^\vee = L_1 - L_{n+1}$; and one finds that $V = \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ has formal character

$$ch(V) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} e(L_i + L_j).$$

Using the above expression for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ one finds $m_V = n - 1$. Thus for Spin_6 we obtain $m_V = 2$.

For Spin_{2n}, $n \geq 4$, the formal character of V is

$$ch(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e(L_i) + e(-L_i))$$

where $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ can be taken to be an *orthonormal* basis of $\mathbf{h}^*$; whilst $\theta^\vee = L_1 + L_2$, and so

$$m_V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle L_i, L_1 + L_2 \rangle^2 = 2.$$

Similarly, for Spin_{2n+1} we have

$$ch(V) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e(L_i) + e(-L_i)),$$

for an orthonormal basis $L_1, \ldots, L_n$, and $\theta^\vee = L_1 + L_2$, giving $m_V = 2$ as in the even case.

*

One would like to extend these results for the spin groups to the 'twisted' moduli space $\mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_m)$ defined in §2, generalising the twisted Verlinde formula of Thaddeus [T] in the case $m = 3$. One obtains a line bundle $\Theta(V)$ on each of $\mathcal{M}(\ spin_m)$ and $\mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_m)$ for any representation V of the special Clifford group. The appropriate generalisation of the twisted Spin_3 Verlinde formula is then:

(4.4) Conjecture. $\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_m), \Theta(V)) = -N^+_{m_V} + N^-_{m_V}$ *for* m *odd, where* N^+ *and* N^- *are as defined at the end of the previous section.*

We shall elaborate further on this conjecture in §6 (see also [OW], conjecture (5.2), to which it is equivalent). Our present interest is the following identity which follows easily from the computations of the previous section:

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^{2n+1})) = \begin{cases} -N_4^+ + N_4^- & \text{if } n = 1, \\ -N_2^+ + N_2^- & \text{if } n \geq 2; \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$
$$= 2^{2g-1}(2n+1)^{g-1} + n(2n+1)^{g-1} - 2^{2g-1}.$$

*

§5 Theta functions on Prym varieties

We first recall the usual notation for Prym varieties (see [ACGH]). For each nonzero half-period $\eta \in J_2(C)\backslash\{\mathcal{O}\}$ we have an unramified double cover

$$p : \widetilde{C}_\eta \to C.$$

Writing $\widetilde{J}^{2g-2} = J^{2g-2}(\widetilde{C}_\eta)$ we have

$$\mathrm{Nm}_p^{-1}(K_C) = P_\eta \cup P_\eta^- \subset \widetilde{J}^{2g-2};$$

where $P_\eta,\ P_\eta^-$ are disjoint translates of the same abelian subvariety, characterised by the condition that for $L \in \mathrm{Nm}_p^{-1}(K_C)$:

$$h^0(\widetilde{C}_\eta, L) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \mod 2 \text{ if } L \in P_\eta, \\ 1 \mod 2 \text{ if } L \in P_\eta^-. \end{cases}$$

Then the Prym variety of the covering is defined to be P_η (at least in this section—in the next section it will be more convenient to take the Prym variety in degree zero). We shall denote by Ξ_η the line bundle representing the canonical principal polarisation on P_η, defined by $2\Xi_\eta = P_\eta \cap \widetilde{\Theta}$, where $\widetilde{\Theta}$ is the theta-divisor in $\widetilde{J}^{2g-2}$. Finally, we shall allow also $\eta = 0$ by setting $(P_0, \Xi_0) = (J^{g-1}(C), \Theta)$.

Now let (A, Ξ) be any principally polarised abelian variety of dimension g; and let

$$H^0(A, m\Xi) = H^0_+(A, m\Xi) \oplus H^0_-(A, m\Xi)$$

be the decomposition into $\pm$-eigenspaces under the canonical involution of A. Then by writing down a suitable basis of theta functions one can easily verify that:

$$\dim H^0_\pm(A, m\Xi) = \begin{cases} (m^g \pm 2^g)/2 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \mod 2, \\ (m^g \pm 1)/2 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \mod 2. \end{cases}$$

This paper is motivated by the following observation. If we direct sum all *even* theta functions of odd level $m = 2n+1$ over all the Pryms P_η (including the Jacobian) we obtain a vector space of dimension

$$\frac{(2n+1)^g+1}{2} + (2^{2g}-1)\frac{(2n+1)^{g-1}+1}{2}$$

$$= 2^{2g-1}(2n+1)^{g-1} + n(2n+1)^{g-1} + 2^{2g-1}$$

$$= \begin{cases} N_2(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ N_4(\mathrm{Spin}_3) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

From theorem (4.2) and lemma (4.3) it therefore follows that

$$h^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^{2n+1})) = \sum_{\eta \in J_2(C)} h^0_+(P_\eta, (2n+1)\Xi_\eta), \tag{5.1}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where V is the orthogonal representation of Spin_{2n+1}. *And similarly,* it follows from (4.5) (assuming the conjecture (4.4)—which is at least true when $n = 1$) that

$$h^0(\mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^{2n+1})) = \sum_{\eta \in J_2(C)} h^0_-(P_\eta, (2n+1)\Xi_\eta). \tag{5.2}$$

(5.3) Remark. It may be noted from the remarks following (3.2) (and again using (4.3)) that among the even spin groups Spin_8 alone exhibits an analogous numerology, namely:

$$h^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_8), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^8)) = \sum_{\eta \in J_2(C)} h^0(P_\eta, 8\Xi_\eta).$$

The identities (5.1) and (5.2) were explained for $n = 1$ in [O]; the purpose of the remainder of this section is to account similarly for $n > 1$; that is, to prove the generalisation (0.3) of (0.1) stated in the introduction. In so doing we shall give only briefly those parts of the construction for which the general case differs only trivially from the case $n = 1$. At such points we shall refer to [O] for the details.

*

It will be convenient to denote the two-component variety $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_n) \cup \mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_n)$ by $\mathcal{N}(n)$; and the theta line bundle $\Theta(\mathbf{C}^n)$ by $\Theta_{\mathcal{N}}$. We shall construct a homomorphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{N}(n), \Theta_{\mathcal{N}})^\vee \to \bigoplus_{\eta \in J_2(C)} H^0(P_\eta, n\Xi_\eta),$$

one summand at a time; and then check that the parity corresponds on each side as in (0.3). By the Künneth formula this is equivalent—up to the assertion about parity—to finding, for each $\eta \in J_2(C)$, a naturally occuring divisor

$$\mathcal{D}_\eta \subset P_\eta \times \mathcal{N}(n)$$

which is in the linear system $|n\Xi_\eta + \Theta_{\mathcal{N}}|$. (Here, of course, Ξ_η and $\Theta_{\mathcal{N}}$ denote by abuse of notation the respective line bundles pulled back to the product.)

The case $\eta = 0$.

In this case, which we shall consider first, $P_0 = J^{g-1}(C)$ and $\Xi_0 = \Theta_J$ is the usual theta divisor.

We consider the morphism of varieties

$$\alpha : \mathcal{N}(n) \to \mathcal{M}(SC_n) \to \mathcal{M}(SL_n)$$

induced by the group homomorphisms

$$SC_n \to SO_n \hookrightarrow SL_n.$$

In other words, to each Clifford bundle E we associate the vector bundle $E(\mathbf{C}^n)$; then, as already mentioned in §1, semistability of E implies that of $E(\mathbf{C}^n)$ ([R2], proposition 2.17). This assignment is functorial with respect to base change for families, so by the coarse moduli property we obtain the above morphisms.

Now we define $\mathcal{D}_0$ to be the pull-back via

$$J^{g-1}(C) \times \mathcal{N}(n) \xrightarrow{id\times\alpha} J^{g-1}(C) \times \mathcal{M}(SL_n) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1))$$

(where, of course, we are identifying $\mathcal{M}(SL_n)$ with the moduli space of semistable vector bundles of rank n and trivial determinant, and the second map is tensor product of vector bundles) of the canonical theta divisor (see §1)

$$\Theta_{n,n(g-1)} = \{V | H^0(V) \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1)).$$

It follows from the discussion of §1 that $\mathcal{D}_0$ belongs to the linear system $|n\Theta_J + \Theta_{\mathcal{N}}|$: first of all, the pull-back of $\Theta_{n,n(g-1)}$ to $J^{g-1}(C) \times \mathcal{M}(SL_n)$ restricts on a fibre $\{L\} \times \mathcal{M}(SL_n)$ as the restrict ion of $\Theta_{n,0}$ from $\mathcal{M}(n,0)$—this is by definition of $\Theta_{n,0}$—and we have seen that this is just $\Theta(\mathbf{C}^n)$. Hence the pull-back to $J^{g-1}(C) \times \mathcal{N}(n)$ is $\Theta_{\mathcal{N}}$ on fibres $\{L\} \times \mathcal{N}(n)$.

On the other hand, restriction to fibres $J^{g-1}(C) \times \{V\}$, for any vector bundle V with rank n and trivial determinant, is independent of V by (1.5′). We may therefore take V to be the trivial bundle of rank n. Then it is clear from the usual determinantal description of Θ_J that $\Theta_{n,n(g-1)}$ restricts to $n\Theta_J$.

The case $\eta \neq 0$.

To begin, it is necessary to note that semistability of a bundle is preserved under pull-back.

(5.4) Lemma. *Let $p : \widetilde{C} \to C$ be any unramified cover of smooth projective curves. Then, if a vector bundle $V \to C$ is semistable then $p^*V \to \widetilde{C}$ is semistable.*

Proof. By the Narasimhan-Seshadri theorem V is induced from a projective unitary representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(C)$. Since $\widetilde{C}$ is an unramified cover its fundamental group injects into $\pi_1(C)$, and the restriction of the above repre sentation then induces the pull-back bundle, which is consequently semistable.

(5.5) Corollary. *Let $p : \widetilde{C} \to C$ be as in lemma (5.4), and $E \to C$ a semistable G-bundle. Then $p^*E \to \widetilde{C}$ is semistable.*

Proof. The same argument as in the above proof works for G-bundles by Ramanathan's generalisation of the Narasimhan-Seshadri theorem [R1]; alternatively apply (5.4) to the adjoint bundle ad E: by [R2], corollary 2.18, semistability of E is equivalent to semistability of ad E as a vector bundle.

Let us now return to the double cover $p : \widetilde{C}_\eta \to C$. Noting that for a Clifford bundle $E \to C$ the spinor norm satisfies $\mathrm{Nm}(p^*E) = p^*\mathrm{Nm}(E)$, and this has even degree, it follows from (5.5) that we obtain a morphism of moduli spaces

$$u = p^* : \mathcal{N}_C(n) \to \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{C}_\eta}(\mathrm{Spin}_n).$$

(5.6) Proposition. $u^*\Theta_{\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{C}_\eta}}(\mathbf{C}^n) = 2\Theta_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof. Let $E \to C \times S$ be an arbitrary family of semistable SC_n-bundles, and let $F = E(\mathbf{C}^n)$ be the associated family of vector bundles via the orthogonal representation. Let $\widetilde{F} = (p \times \mathrm{id})^*F$ be the pull-back of the family by the d ouble cover:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{C}_\eta \times S & \stackrel{p\times \mathrm{id}}{\longrightarrow} & C \times S \\ & \widetilde{\pi} \searrow \qquad \swarrow \pi & \\ & S. & \end{array}$$

It is clear from the discussion of §1 that to prove the proposition it suffices to show that

$$\Theta(\widetilde{F}) = 2\Theta(F) :$$

i.e. the line bundle $\Theta(\mathbf{C}^n) \to \mathcal{M}_C(SC_n)$ represents the functor $E \mapsto \Theta(F)$, while the line bundle $u^*\Theta_{\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{C}_\eta}}(\mathbf{C}^n) \to \mathcal{M}_C(SC_n)$ represents the functor $E \mapsto \Theta(\widetilde{F})$.

So to compute $\Theta(\widetilde{F})$, first note that by the projection formula applied to $p \times \mathrm{id}$ we have, for $i = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} R^i_{\widetilde{\pi}}(\widetilde{F}) &= R^i_\pi(F \otimes p_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}_\eta}) \\ &= R^i_\pi(F \oplus F \otimes \eta) \\ &= R^i_\pi(F) \oplus R^i_\pi(F \otimes \eta). \end{aligned}$$

If we fit the direct images $R^i_\pi(F)$ into an exact sequence (1.3), and $R^i_\pi(F\otimes\eta)$ into a similar sequence with middle terms $K^{0'} \xrightarrow{\phi'} K^{1'}$, then we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to R^0_{\widetilde{\pi}}\widetilde{F} \to K^0 \oplus K^{0'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}(\phi,\phi')} K^1 \oplus K^{1'} \to R^1_{\widetilde{\pi}}\widetilde{F} \to 0.$$

It follows at once that

$$\mathrm{Det}(\widetilde{F}) = \mathrm{Det}(F) \otimes \mathrm{Det}(F\otimes\eta).$$

But since the bundle F has trivial determinant we can replace Det by Θ here. And since $\Theta(F\otimes\eta) = \Theta(F)$ by corollary (1.6), we obtain $\Theta(\widetilde{F}) = 2\Theta(F)$ as required.

As a consequence of proposition (5.6) , we see that the pull-back via the map

$$\mathrm{incl}\times u : P_\eta \times \mathcal{N}(n) \to \widetilde{J}^{2g-2} \times \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{C}_\eta}(\mathrm{Spin}_n),$$

of the divisor $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_0$ constructed (with C replaced by $\widetilde{C}_\eta$) for the case $\eta = 0$, is a divisor

$$\mathcal{E}_\eta \in |2n\Xi_\eta + 2\Theta_{\mathcal{N}}|.$$

(5.7) Proposition. *$\mathcal{E}_\eta$ has multiplicity 2, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_\eta = 2\mathcal{D}_\eta$ for a divisor $\mathcal{D}_\eta \in |n\Xi_\eta + \Theta_{\mathcal{N}}|$.*

For the proof of this we refer to [O], proposition (2.6), where the case $n = 3$ is proved. The proof for the general case is not essentially different except in one respect: for $n = 3$ there exists a *universal* orthogonal bundle $E(\mathbf{C}^3) = \mathrm{ad}\ E \to C\times\mathcal{N}(3)$, whereas we cannot expect this in the general case. However, since the result to be proved is local, it suffices to prove the result on spaces $P_\eta \times S$ where S is the base of an arbitrary family of semistable Clifford bundl es, and then apply the universal moduli property of $\mathcal{N}(n)$. The crucial point in both cases is that the bundles $E(\mathbf{C}^n)$ (or ad E when $n = 3$) are *self-dual*: see [O] lemma (2.11).

One can view the divisor $\mathcal{D}_\eta$ as giving a rational map

$$\begin{aligned} g_\eta : \mathcal{N}(n) &\to |n\Xi_\eta| \\ E &\mapsto \{L \in P_\eta | H^0(\widetilde{C}_\eta, L\otimes p^*E(\mathbf{C}^n)) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see by Riemann-Roch, Serre duality and the fact that $E(\mathbf{C}^n)$ is self-dual, that $g_\eta(E)$ is a *symmetric* divisor (see [O], §3). This means that each component of $\mathcal{N}(n)$ maps either into $|n\Xi_\eta|_+ = \mathbf{P}H^0_+(P_\eta, n\Xi_\eta)$ or into $|n\Xi_\eta|_- = \mathbf{P}H^0_-(P_\eta, n\Xi_\eta)$; and the claim is:

(5.8) Proposition. *For each $\eta \in J_2(C)$, g_η maps $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_n)$ into $|n\Xi_\eta|_+$ and $\mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_n)$ into $|n\Xi_\eta|_-$.*

As a consequence we obtain the homomorphisms of (0.3) in the introduction,

$$f^\pm_\eta = (g^*_\eta)^\vee : H^0(\mathcal{M}^\pm(\mathrm{Spin}_n), \Theta(\mathbf{C}^n))^\vee \to H^0_\pm(P_\eta, n\Xi_\eta) \tag{5.9}$$

dual to the pull-back of hyperplane sections.

The proof of (5.8) is identical to that of [O], §3, if we replace the congruence [O],(3.7) with its generalisation in proposition (2.11) of the present paper. This implies that for $E \in \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_n)$ the divisor $g_\eta(E) \subset P_\eta$ passes through all odd theta-characteristics, while for $E \in \mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_n)$ it passes through all even theta-characteristics. By [O], lemma (3.6) the odd theta-characteristics are the base points of the linear subsystem $|n\Xi_\eta|_+$ and the even theta-characteristics are the base points of the linear subsystem $|n\Xi_\eta|_-$; so the result follows.

*

§6 Spin reciprocity

We are not going to discuss, in this article, the question of whether the homomorphisms (5.9) are isomorphisms as one should expect. However, in this final section we wish to fit this question into a broader context by giving an alternative point of view on the relationship between Prym varieties and spin bundles. We consider complex O_2- and Pin_2-bundles on the curve C; and we shall show that these can be identified with anti-invariant line bundles over double covers of C ((6.6) and (6.7)).

First note that O_2 and Pin_2 are isomorphic groups; each is generated by $SO_2 \cong \mathrm{Spin}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}^*$ and a fixed element σ of order 2:

$$O_2 \cong \mathrm{Pin}_2 \cong \langle \mathbf{C}^*, \sigma | \sigma^2 = 1,\ \sigma z = z^{-1}\sigma \text{ for } z \in \mathbf{C}^* \rangle. \tag{6.1}$$

The double cover of O_2 by Pin_2 is then induced by the squaring map on $\mathbf{C}^*$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \to & \mathbf{C}^* & \to & \mathrm{Pin}_2 & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbf{Z}/2 & \to & 0 \\ & & sq \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ 1 & \to & \mathbf{C}^* & \to & O_2 & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbf{Z}/2 & \to & 0. \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

We shall use the same letter ν for the map induced in cohomology

$$\nu : H^1(C, \mathcal{O}O_2) \to H^1(C, \mathbf{Z}/2) \cong J_2(C). \tag{6.3}$$

The first space $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}O_2)$ parametrises isomorphism classes of O_2-bundles on C; let us represent such a bundle by a Cech cocycle $g = \{g_{ij}\}$ with respect to some open cover $\{U_{ij}\}$ of C. Each $g_{ij} = \eta_{ij}\widetilde{g}_{ij}$ where $eta_{ij} \in \{1, \sigma\}$ and $\widetilde{g}_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$. It will be convenient to identify the groups $\{1, \sigma\} \cong \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbf{Z}/2$. Then we observe, first, that $\{\eta_{ij}\}$ is a cocycle representing the image $\eta = \nu(g)$ under (6.3).

Second, it is easy to verify that the cocycle conditions satisfied by $\{g_{ij}\}$, together with the relations (6.1), imply:

$$\widetilde{g}_{ij}^{\eta_{ji}}\widetilde{g}_{ji} = 1, \qquad \widetilde{g}_{ij}^{\eta_{jk}\eta_{ki}}\widetilde{g}_{jk}^{\eta_{ki}}\widetilde{g}_{ki} = 1. \tag{6.4}$$

Although $\{\widetilde{g}_{ij}\}$ does not, therefore, define a cocycle on C, we claim that it *does* define one on the unramified double cover $\widetilde{C}_\eta \to C$ associated to $\eta = \{\eta_{ij}\}$.

To see this, we first construct an open cover $\{U_i^+, U_i^-\}$ of $\widetilde{C}_\eta$, where $U_i^+ \cong U_i^- \cong U_i \subset C$, by glueing

$$U_i^\pm \to U_j^{\pm\eta_{ij}}$$

over the intersection $U_i \cap U_j$. (This is one way to construct the curve $\widetilde{C}_\eta$.) We then have a collection of functions

$$\{\widetilde{g}_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i^+ \cap U_j^{\eta_{ij}}), \quad \widetilde{g}_{ij}^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i^- \cap U_j^{-\eta_{ij}})\}. \tag{6.5}$$

Notice that switching i and j replaces $\widetilde{g}_{ij}$ by $\widetilde{g}_{ji}^{\eta_{ij}}$; thus one sees that the relations (6.4) are precisely the cocycle conditions for the collection (6.5); *i.e. the functions (6.5) are transition functions for an anti-i nvariant line bundle on the curve* $\widetilde{C}_\eta$.

If $\eta = 0 \in J_2(C)$ then the double cover is trivial, i.e. two copies of C, and an anti-invariant line bundle just means an arbitrary line bundle on C (together with its inverse on the other component). If $\eta \neq 0$ then anti-invariant line bundles are parametrised by

$$\ker \mathrm{Nm}_{p_\eta} \subset J(\widetilde{C}_\eta).$$

(Note that such a line bundle necessarily has degree zero.) In summary, therefore, we have shown:

(6.6) Proposition.

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{O}O_2) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(C) \cup \bigcup_{\eta \in J_2(C)\setminus\{0\}} \ker \mathrm{Nm}_{p_\eta}.$$

Recall that for each $\eta \in J_2(C)\setminus\{0\}$ the kernel of the norm map has two connected components:

$$\ker \mathrm{Nm}_{p_\eta} = \mathrm{Prym}(C, \eta) \cup \mathrm{Prym}^-(C, \eta)$$

where $\mathrm{Prym}(C, \eta) = \mathrm{Im}(1 - \sigma)$ and where σ denotes the endomorphism of $J(\widetilde{C}_\eta)$ induced by the sheet-interchange over C. (Compare §5: $\mathrm{Prym}(C, \eta)$ is, of course, a translate of P_η.)

(6.7) Proposition. *The subvariety of $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}O_2)$ parametrising bundles which lift to a Pin_2-bundle on C is precisely*

$$\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{even}}(C) \cup \bigcup_{\eta \in J_2(C)\setminus\{0\}} \mathrm{Prym}(C, \eta).$$

Proof. An O_2-bundle lifts to a Pin_2-bundle if and only if its cohomology class is in the image of the squaring map (6.2); which is equivalent to saying that the line bundle we obtain on the double cover has a square root. So if $\eta = 0$ then the line bundle we obtain

on C has even degree; whilst if $\eta \neq 0$ then we obtain a line bundle $L = N^2 \to \widetilde{C}_\eta$, where $\sigma(N) = N^{-1}$. But this implies that $L = N \otimes \sigma(N)^{-1}$ i.e. that $L \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\sigma) = \mathrm{Prym}(C, \eta)$.

*

'Spin reciprocity' is the term used in [OW] to refer to the fact that for odd numbers $l, m \geq 5$,

$$N_l^-(\mathrm{Spin}_m) = N_m^-(\mathrm{Spin}_l), \qquad N_{2l}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_3) = N_3^-(\mathrm{Spin}_l). \tag{6.8}$$

This can be interpreted by considering the variety (as in §5)

$$\mathcal{N}(m) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spin}_m) \cup \mathcal{M}^-(\mathrm{Spin}_m) & \text{for odd } m \geq 3, \\ J_2(C) & \text{for } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

(The convention for $\mathcal{N}(1)$ is included mainly for numerological convenience; though one may note that in each case $\mathcal{N}(m)$ is characterised as being the canonical Galois cover of $\mathcal{M}(SO_m)$ with fibre $J_2(C)$.)

Now suppose that there exists on $\mathcal{N}(m)$ a 'Pfaffian' line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^2 = \Theta(\mathbf{C}^m)$. From the construction of [KNR] it would follow that, more generally, for any representation V of SC_m we have $\Theta(V) = \mathcal{L}^{m_V}$. In fact the work of Sorger [So] suggests that $\mathcal{L}$ should exist in general only as a Weil divisor class, Cartier over the stable points of the moduli space; but in this case one might still expect that the statements below about H^0s are unaffected.

Now conjecture (4.4) implies that (for m odd, of course)

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{N}(m), \mathcal{L}^l) = 2N_l^-(\mathrm{Spin}_m),$$

and so (6.8) becomes

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{N}(m), \mathcal{L}^l) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{N}(l), \mathcal{L}^m) \qquad \text{for } l, m \text{ both odd.} \tag{6.9}$$

One may now ask, on the one hand, whether there is a natural duality between these two vector spaces, and on the other hand how the *even* spin groups fit into this picture. For the latter question, in particular, the only numerological clue appears t o be remark (5.3); though one can expect Spin_8 to be special on account of triality. However, the point that we wish to make here is that if we take

$$\mathcal{N}(2) = J(C) \cup \bigcup_{\eta \in J_2(C) \setminus \{0\}} \mathrm{Prym}(C, \eta)$$

with line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ restricting to the principal polarisation on each component, then by (5.1) and (5.2) the reciprocity relation (6.9) remains true if one of l, m equals 2—and indeed a pairing is constructed via (5.9)—whilst by (6.7) the variety $\mathcal{N}(2)$ has a natural interpretation as a moduli space of Pin_2-bundles on C.

*

REFERENCES

[ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths, J. Harris: Geometry of Algebraic Curves, Springer, 1985;

[B1] A. Beauville: Fibrés de rang 2 sur une courbe, fibré déterminant et fonctions theta, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 116 (1988) 431–448;

[B2] — : — II, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 119 (1991) 259–291;

[B3] — : Conformal blocks, fusion rules and the Verlinde formula, preprint 1994;

[Bo] N. Bourbaki: Algèbre II chap. 10, Formes sesquilinéaires et formes quadratiques, Hermann 1959;

[DN] J.-M. Drezet, M.S. Narasimhan: Groupe de Picard de variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stable sur les courbe algébriques, Invent. Math. 97 (1989) 53–94;

[F] G. Faltings: A proof for the Verlinde formula, J. Alg. Geometry 3 (1994) 347–374;

[K] V. Kanev: Spectral curves, simple Lie algebras and Prym-Tyurin varieties, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 49 (1989) Part 1, 627–645;

[KNR] S. Kumar, M.S. Narasimhan, A. Ramanathan: Infinite Grassmannian and moduli space of G-bundles, preprint 1993;

[O] W.M. Oxbury: Anticanonical Verlinde spaces as theta spaces on Pryms, preprint 1993;

[OW] — , S.M.J. Wilson: Reciprocity laws in the Verlinde formulae for the classical groups, preprint 1994;

[R] S. Ramanan: Orthogonal and spin bundles over hyperelliptic curves, in 'Geometry and Analysis', papers dedicated to V.K. Patodi, Springer (1981);

[R1] A. Ramanathan: Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface, Math. Ann. 213 (1975) 129–152;

[R2] — : Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface: construction of moduli space, Bombay thesis, 1976;

[S] J.-P. Serre: Revêtements à ramification impaire et thêta-caractéristiques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 311(I) (1990) 547–552;

[So] C. Sorger: Groupe de Picard de la variété des thêta-caractéristiques des courbes planes, to appear in the proceedings of the 1993 Europroj conference at Catania;

[T] M. Thaddeus: Conformal field theory and the cohomology of the moduli space of stable bundles, J. Diff. Geometry 35 (1992) 131–149.

*

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Science Laboratories,
South Road,
Durham DH1 3LE,
U.K.

E-mail: w.m.oxbury@durham.ac.uk